

June 1, 2004

Ms. Traci S. Briggs Assistant City Attorney City of Killeen 402 North Second Street Killeen, Texas 76541-5298

OR2004-4465

Dear Ms. Briggs:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 202588.

The Killeen Police Department (the "department") received a request for personnel information pertaining to two named officers. You claim that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.117, 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

You assert that Attachment C is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 excepts from required public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information that another statute makes confidential. You inform us that the department is a civil service department under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. You assert that the information submitted as Attachment C is confidential under section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. Section 143.089 provides for the existence of two different types of personnel files relating to a police officer, including one that must be maintained by a city's civil service director as part of the officer's civil service file and another that the police department may maintain for its own internal use. See Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a), (g).

The officer's civil service file must contain certain specified items, including commendations, periodic evaluations by the officer's supervisor, and documents relating to

any misconduct in any instance in which the department took disciplinary action against the officer under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. Id. § 143.089(a)(1)-(2). Chapter 143 prescribes the following types of disciplinary actions: removal, suspension, demotion, and uncompensated duty. Id. §§ 143.051-.055. In cases in which a police department investigates an officer's misconduct and takes disciplinary action against an officer, it is required by section 143.089(a)(2) to place all investigatory records relating to the investigation and disciplinary action, including background documents such as complaints, witness statements, and documents of like nature from individuals who were not in a supervisory capacity, in the officer's civil service file maintained under section 143.089(a). See Abbott v. Corpus Christi, 109 S.W.3d 113, 122 (Tex. App.—Austin 2003, no pet.). All investigatory materials in a case resulting in disciplinary action are "from the employing department" when they are held by or are in the possession of the department because of its investigation into an officer's misconduct, and the department must forward them to the civil service commission for placement in the civil service personnel file. Id. Such records may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.089 of the Local Government Code. See Local Gov't Code § 143.089(f); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 6 (1990). Information relating to alleged misconduct or disciplinary action taken must be removed from the officer's civil service file if the police department determines that there is insufficient evidence to sustain the charge of misconduct or that the disciplinary action was taken without just cause. See Local Gov't Code § 143.089(b)-(c).

Subsection (g) of section 143.089 authorizes the police department to maintain, for its own use, a separate and independent internal personnel file relating to an officer. Section 143.089(g) provides as follows:

A fire or police department may maintain a personnel file on a fire fighter or police officer employed by the department for the department's use, but the department may not release any information contained in the department file to any agency or person requesting information relating to a fire fighter or police officer. The department shall refer to the director or the director's designee a person or agency that requests information that is maintained in the fire fighter's or police officer's personnel file.

Id. § 143.089(g). In City of San Antonio v. Tex. Attorney Gen., 851 S.W.2d 946 (Tex. App.—Austin 1993, writ denied), the court addressed a request for information contained in an officer's personnel file maintained by the police department for its use and the applicability of section 143.089(g) to that file. The records included in the departmental personnel file related to complaints against the officer for which no disciplinary action was taken. The court determined that section 143.089(g) made these records confidential. See City of San Antonio, 851 S.W.2d at 949 (concluding that "the legislature intended to deem confidential the information maintained by the . . . police department for its own use under subsection (g)"). The court stated that the provisions of section 143.089 governing the content of the civil service file reflect "a legislative policy against disclosure of

unsubstantiated claims of misconduct made against police officers and fire fighters, except with an individual's written consent." *Id.*; see also City of San Antonio v. San Antonio Express-News, 47 S.W.3d 556 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2000, pet. denied) (restricting confidentiality under Local Gov't Code § 143.089(g) to "information reasonably related to a police officer's or fire fighter's employment relationship"); Attorney General Opinion JC-0257 at 6-7 (2000) (addressing functions of Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a) and (g) files).

You inform us that the information submitted as Attachment C is contained in files maintained by the department under section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. Based on your representation and our review of this information, we conclude that Attachment C must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code as information made confidential by law.

You inform us that Attachment D consists of the civil service files concerning the named officers and assert that the marked portions of this attachment are excepted from disclosure under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from public disclosure the home address, home telephone number, and social security number of a peace officer, as well as information that reveals whether the peace officer has family members, regardless of whether the peace officer complies with sections 552.024 or 552.1175. Section 552.117(a)(2) adopts the definition of peace officer found at article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We agree that the information that you have marked in Attachment D must be withheld from the requestor under section 552.117(a)(2). We have marked additional information that must also be withheld under section 552.117(a)(2).

In summary, the department must withhold Attachment C in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. The department must withhold the marked portions of Attachment D under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code. The remaining information in Attachment D must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

¹As we are able to make these determinations, we do not address your remaining arguments.

Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Amy Deterson

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

ADP/sdk

Ref: ID# 202588

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. James Kreimeyer P.O. Box 727 Belton, Texas 76513 (w/o enclosures)